

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,352	01/27/2004	Donald Parker	Parker.02C	Parker.02C 1068	
23616 7	2590 12/28/2005	EXAMINER			
LAW OFFICES OF CLEMENT CHENG 17220 NEWHOPE STREET #127 FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA 92708			TANG,	TANG, SON M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOOMININ	712221, 011 72.00		2632		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/200	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of About Journal	10/765,352	PARKER ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Son M. Tang	2632
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	· ·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFC.	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) 		n the statutory period of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	· ; •
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.		
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repre-	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	ence rendered on and becauns.	se the period for seeking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:	SUPERVISO	DANIEL WU/ PATENT EXAMINER 1/21/65
:	·	. 11

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 122305